Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/587,358	SALO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>allowance</u>	
(1) <u>Thanh-Tam T. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>M. Britton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 April 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 7 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: 3,984,801		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Thanh-Tam T. Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/587,358

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed and agreed with Mr. Britton upon the changes to clarify the claimed invention to more distinctly define over the prior art of record to incorporate the limitations of claims 2, 7, 9 and the structures of slots and projections into claim 1 thereby to place the case in a condition for allowance.